

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.umbo.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/756,453	01/08/2001	Richard Bolling	ADO 0069 PA	5555
75	590 05/07/2003	•		
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
	Dayton Centre, Suite 500 on, OH 45402-2023		RIBAR, TRAVIS B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
	•	·	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			9 ~~ 10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Advisory Action	09/756,453	BOLLING ET AL.	
7.a, 7.a	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Travis B Ribar	1711	i
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the application	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 	Brief must be filed within the pe		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , ,		
			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the specification present the issue of new matter, as some of the limitations now included in the description were not originally part of the disclosure, such as the "metal automobile part" and the "expandable sealant" descriptions in the proposed amendment.

The newly added limitation of claims 15 and 25 that the sealant flow during its application presents a new issue that would require further consideration and an additional search..

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700